

University of Pittsburgh Senate Computer Usage Committee (SCUC)
Minutes for March 25, 2016 meeting

Attending: Jones, Yarger, Walton, Hampton, Constantino, Jamal, LaDue, Doty, Labrindis, Sereika, Frieze

TOPIC	DISCUSSION	ACTION TO BE TAKEN
Call to order and approval of 2/19 minutes	Minutes approved	Minutes will be sent to FA office.
Old Business	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CSSD Report <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Email monitoring policy University of California (https://www.insidehighered.com/news/2016/02/01/u-california-faculty-members-object-new-email-monitoring. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not a new University of California policy. • Threat detection system • 3rd party tech. provider unable to break encryption or drill down to individual person- including IP • When needed information is forwarded to Security Officer • Email Security Systems at Pitt <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Threat detection system- malware, malicious content ○ Email content not inspected ○ Part of CSSD's advanced threat strategy ○ • Phishing scams attempts continue <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Education imperative but difficult 	Draft SCUC statement for FA- Reminder- University email is owned by the University and falls under Computer Access policy (http://technology.pitt.edu/support/university-policies-related-to-technology)

University of Pittsburgh Senate Computer Usage Committee (SCUC)
Minutes for March 25, 2016 meeting

Attending: Jones, Yarger, Walton, Hampton, Constantino, Jamal, LaDue, Doty, Labrindis, Sereika, Frieze

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Staring a “Phish me” (http://phishme.com/product-services/pm-solution/) evaluation. ● Electronics Lab Notebook (ELN) update <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Use continues to increase. ● Digital Signature moving forward <p style="margin-left: 40px;">Usage of Academic Analytics data for faculty promotion http://www.academicanalytics.com/) and https://www.insidehighered.com/news/2015/12/11/rutgers-professors-object-contract-academic-analytics</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Faculty evaluation using quantitative methods ○ May not capture all types of scholarship and activities ● Academic Analytics use At Pitt <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Unable to see one’s own data ○ Incomplete data? ○ How is data using used and why whom? ● Discussion on possible Data Governess Board at Pitt <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ High level, responsive group with faculty representation ○ Would be engaged in policy and procedure for data at Pitt <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Faculty research data 	<p>Draft SCUC recommendation statement for FA: Faculty should have mechanism to review their own analytic data and to be given information on how the data is used.</p>
--	--	---

University of Pittsburgh Senate Computer Usage Committee (SCUC)
Minutes for March 25, 2016 meeting

Attending: Jones, Yarger, Walton, Hampton, Constantino, Jamal, LaDue, Doty, Labrindis, Sereika, Frieze

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Identity data ▪ Institutional master data ▪ Guidance for access ▪ <p>Continued IT/SCUC Discussion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proactive communication • Constancy in web presence • Streamline and improve technology used for university process including purchasing cloud based technologies • Trends towards “self serve” technology • What should be base line teaching technology? • Baseline digital signage? • Platform support not use MS based • Data management discussions and groups • Digital equity at Pitt? 	<p>Discussion will continue. Possible future FA recommendation?</p>
New Business		Due to time constraints, held over for April meeting
Meeting Adjourned.		Next meeting April 22, 2016 @ 10am.